UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/687,088	10/17/2003	Pascal Genini		9089
MR. GENINI P	7590 05/28/200 PASCAL	EXAMINER		
41 RUE DU MAROLET			WEINSTEIN, STEVEN L	
AUVERS SUR OISE, 95430 FRANCE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/687,088	GENINI, PASCAL		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Steven L. Weinstein	1794		
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION CARD 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed of the filed o	☐ This action is non-final. Tallowance except for formal matt	-		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	withdrawn from consideration.			
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the compact of the compac) accepted or b) objected to long on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyangle correction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 		

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

The preamble of claim 1 makes a positive recitation that the claim is a method for making a pancake batter. Therefore claims 1-3 are method claims. However, the body of claim 1 does not contain any verbage to recite a positive method step in the process of making a pancake batter. Therefore, the body of claim 1, which is silent as to any method steps is inconsistent with the preamble of claim 1, which recites a method. Also, claim 3 is indefinite since it is improper to rely on a figure/drawing when the claim can be recited in words. It would also be unclear as to how the recited method of claim 1 specifically relates to the accompanying drawing.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wulf et al (6,758,592) in view of Wilson (4,230,238), Wilson (4,397,879), Keller (3,542,190), Tooke (2,828,858) and Long (3,144,931), further in view of Morley (1,744,328), Benisti (4,388,839) and Collins (2,010,534).

As noted above, claim 1 is a generic method reciting a method of making pancake batter. Claim 2 further recites the method including removing a lid to a container, introducing milk to a marker, introducing flour to a marker, adding eggs and salt and shaking the container to mix the ingredients. Claim 3 does not further add a

Application/Control Number: 10/687,088

Art Unit: 1794

positive method step. Note, too, that a method claim reciting method steps should generally stand on its own. That is, a method claim should not rely on the apparatus for patentability. It is the manipulative steps of the method that is judged for patentability. Thus, in the present application, it is the steps of claim 2, e.g., the introducing steps, shaking, etc. devoid of the structure, which are examined for patentability. To expedite prosecution, the claims will be examined in this context. Thus, Wulf discloses a method wherein a recipe such as a batter is made by introducing into a vessel the various ingredients that make up the batter recipe wherein the ingredients are added to corresponding marker levels on the vessel. Wulf et al discloses the recipes can include batters, powdered drinks, milk shakes, etc. and the markings associated with the mixing vessel indicate the levels for the ingredients which make up the recipe. Thus, Wulf et al. teaches applicants problem and solution of providing a receptacle that allows one to make a recipe such as a batter without needing a book or additional measuring devices, by providing indicator levels associated with the vessel. See, for example, the example shown in figure 6 of Wulf et al. Wulf et al discloses that after the ingredients are added, the vessel cover is placed on the vessel and the ingredients are shaken by an internal agitator. The claims (specifically claim 2), differs from Wulf et al in the particular step of mixing. Wulf et al discloses mechanical agitating interiorly of the vessel, but the two Wilson references, Keller, Tooke and Long disclose shaking the vessel itself and to modify Wulf et al and substitute one conventional agitating step for another conventional agitating step would therefore have been obvious. In regard to the specific ingredients and order of their addition, this would have been an obvious result effective variable,

Page 3

Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

routinely determinable. Applicant is obviously not the inventor of a pancake composition. In fact, Wilson ('238) discloses a composition wherein a prepared mix, water and eggs are added to the vessel. Wilson ('879) discloses that the dry mix can even include flour, egg, salt, etc. Morley, Benisti and Collins are relied on as further evidence that it was well established to provide vessels with recipes and their ingredients with associated marker fill lines so that a consumer can make the particular recipe without any additional measuring utensils which, as noted above, is applicants objective as well.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven L. Weinstein whose telephone number is 571-272-1410. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00 A.M.-2:30 P.M..

Application/Control Number: 10/687,088 Page 5

Art Unit: 1794

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Steve Weinstein/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794